Transplantation of kidneys with tumors

Giovanni M. Frascà[1], Antonia D'Errico[2] Deborah Malvi[2] Camillo Porta[3], Laura Cosmai[4], Matteo Santoni[5], Silvio Sandrini[6], Chiara Salviani[6], Emilio Balestra[1] Maurizio Gallieni[7].

[1] Nephrology, Dialysis and Renal Transplantation Unit - Ospedali Riuniti - Ancona - Italy

[2] "F. Addarii" Institute of Oncology and Transplantation Pathology - Bologna University - Bologna - Italy.

[3] Medical Oncology, I.R.C.C.S. San Matteo University Hospital Foundation - Pavia - Italy.

[4] Nephrology and Dialysis Unit, Istituti Ospitalieri Cremona - Cremona - Italy

[5] Clinica di Oncologia Medica-Università Politecnica delle Marche - Ancona - Italy

[6] U.O. Nefrologia, Spedali Civili di Brescia - Brescia - Italy

[7] Nephrology and Dialysis Unit, San Carlo Borromeo Hospital, Milano; Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences "Luigi Sacco" – University of Milano - Italy

Abstract

The shortage of donors to face the increasing number of patients listed for renal transplantation has prompted several strategies including the use of kidneys with a tumor, whether occasionally found on harvesting from a deceased donor or intentionally removed from a living donor and transplanted after excision of the lesion. Current evidence suggests that a solitary well-differentiated RCC, Fuhrman nuclear grade I-II, less than 1 cm in diameter and resected before grafting may be considered at minimal risk of recurrence in the recipients who should however be informed of the possible risk and should consent to receive that graft.

Introduction

At present, renal transplantation is the best treatment available for patients with End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) being a current practice in industrialized countries thanks to the significant improvements in immunosuppressive and supportive therapy which have occurred in the last years.
However, while the number of patients listed to receive a kidney from a deceased donor is progressively increasing, donor numbers have remained stable in recent years leading to larger waiting lists and longer waiting time to receive a kidney.
Several strategies have been used to face the shortage of donors, including transplantation of kidneys from “extended criteria donors”, transplantation from living donors whether related or not, paired living donation from exchanging donors to overcome donor-recipient incompatibility, sometimes within a chain of “domino transplantation” starting from an altruistic donation (1).
In this setting, with the aim of transplanting the largest number possible of available kidneys, even organs with a renal mass have been considered for grafting.

Safety of grafting kidneys with tumors

Whether a kidney with a tumor should be transplanted is still a matter for discussion. While the Kidney Disease Improving Outcome (KDIGO) guidelines do not address evaluation of kidney donors (2), the European Best Practice Guidelines (EBPG) discourage the acceptance of donors with malignancies (3). On the other hand, the guidelines of the European Association of Urology (EAU) suggest that kidneys with a small RCC may be transplanted after excision of the lesion (4).

Transplantation of kidneys with a tumor includes the possibility of transplanting organs with a small lesion found during the donation procedure or even kidneys removed due to the presence of a renal mass. This last option was proposed for patients at higher risk, who accept these grafts, provided that surgery spares enough renal tissue to allow the recipient good renal function (5-9).
This proposal rests on the observation that RCCs show great variability in their biological aggressiveness and only 20% of small tumors, i.e. less than 2 cm in diameter, are potentially aggressive (10) with a 1-2% incidence of metastatic

progression within 2-3 years from diagnosis (11). The proposal was further supported by the good oncological outcome reported in patients that underwent partial nephrectomy for a solitary, small renal mass, generally smaller than 4 cm in major axis, compared to patients who underwent radical nephrectomy (12-14).

Although complications such as perinephric hematoma or calyceal fistula have been reported more frequently after partial nephrectomy, this procedure is largely used with the aim of preserving renal function, particularly when one considers that nowadays patients tend to be older and have several co-morbidities so that at diagnosis approximately one third of them already have a reduced renal function (15). Since this approach has brought oncologic outcomes equivalent to radical nephrectomy when treating small and limited RCCs (16), the American Urologic Association Guidelines strongly recommend the approach as the reference standard of care (17).

Clearly, transplantation of kidneys discarded because of a renal tumor raises some ethical concerns: many believe it unethical to refer subjects with small renal tumors to transplant centers where the removed kidney may be transplanted after excision of the lesion (9). Such treatment is not optimal for the patient and entails a clear conflict of interest, unless the subject had already decided to donate a kidney and the renal cancer was incidentally discovered during medical evaluation for donation.

For both options considered, the discussion focuses on safety for the recipients, given the increased incidence of cancer in transplanted patients, as well as on the kidney's residual function.

The progressive increase in donor age implies a higher risk of unintended transmission of malignancies and a prominent role of RCCs. This tumor was the donor-derived malignancy most frequently reported to the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) between 2005 and 2009, accounting for 43.8% of all malignancy reports (18). Seven out of 64 potential donor-derived RCCs reported to the OPTN were confirmed as being transmitted along with the transplanted kidney and one recipients died because of the transmitted malignancy (18). Similar results were reported by the Spanish National Transplant Organization Tumor registry (19) where again kidney tumors were the most frequently observed in

donors, accounting for 47% of cases with cancer, subsequently transmitted to two recipients.
However, the cases reported (table I), indicate that the recurrence rate of small renal cancer is low, provided the lesion is completely removed.

Several years ago, Penn first focused attention on the problem by reporting a series of 30 patients transplanted from donors with a renal mass. In 14 cases the mass, found upon harvesting, was radically removed without any recurrence in the recipient; in 2 cases where the lesion was only partially removed (R1 margins) the recipients experienced tumor recurrence and metastasis, thus underlining the importance of radical excision of the lesion before grafting. The remaining 14 patients received a kidney from donors in whom the opposite kidney had a malignancy: only one possible tumor recurrence occurred when a carcinoma was found during histological examination of the graft removed for rejection (20).
However, in a more recent paper Buell reported that 43 out of 70 (61%) donors with RCC recorded in the Israel Penn International Transplant Tumor Registry (IPITTR) resulted in malignancy transmission to the recipients, with a 15% patient mortality (21).
Nicol described a series of 43 patients intentionally transplanted with kidneys discarded because of a mass during a 13 year period, where a new tumor developed in only one patient, 9 years after grafting, leaving it open to question whether this was a real recurrence or a “de novo” cancer, not only for the length of time from grafting but also because the new lesion was far from the previous resection (9).

These results suggest that, with due caution, kidneys with small tumors may be used for transplantation into patients who accept the risk, offering them a chance to improve their quality of life and, hopefully, survive longer than on dialysis treatment (22).

Relevance of pathological diagnosis

Accurate pathological diagnosis of the lesion is of the utmost importance when deciding whether to transplant a kidney from a donor with a renal mass, as suggested by the observation that in the Penn series 7 out of 17 patients who

received kidneys with a non-diagnosed renal mass developed metastases 12 months after transplantation (20).

Although clear cell carcinoma accounts for the majority of renal tumors, several other entities have recently been added to the traditional classification (23, 24) or are under discussion (table II), further emphasizing the need for a proper diagnosis in order to assess the risk of tumor transmission and recurrence in the recipient.

In this setting, tissue processing and the techniques used are of course important. The value of frozen section examination to evaluate the margins of the renal mass during surgery is debatable. While Penn (25) stressed its utility, Algaba (26) reported 20% to 37% false negative results due to incorrect sampling of the lesion, particularly when only some fragments are sent to the pathologist who cannot carry out a gross examination of the whole lesion which is often crucial for diagnosis. Necrosis, fibrosis and/or a cystic tumor component raises the percentage of false negative results in fragmentary samples (27-29). Moreover, in frozen tissue the cytology and sometimes the architecture too may not be well preserved so that a specific diagnostic feature, clearly appreciable on paraffin sections, may not be evident on frozen sections. Thus, for example, the classic RCC in a frozen section appears as a lesion with large eosinophylic cytoplasm, because the well-known "clear cell cytology" is an artifact of routine processing dissolving the glycogen contained in the cytoplasm. A variable percentage of false positive cases has also been reported, ranging from null to 34% (27), primarily due to misinterpretation of crushed renal tubules as a renal neoplasm (30). Again, the exact cytological nucleolar grade may be puzzling, due to the nuclear/nucleolar artifacts. However, intraoperative frozen section examination may be useful in determining the status of the margins in partial nephrectomies (26), provided the pathologist receives the entire lesion and not only small fragments, avoiding crush artifacts due to diathermocoagulation.

When appropriate, immunohistochemistry should be used to define the diagnosis, as indeed for the differential diagnosis between oncocytoma and chromophobe renal cell carcinoma.

Recent observations suggest that even kidneys with multiple low-grade tumors may be suitable for transplantation, provided this condition does not influence the

functionality of the residual parenchyma. The presence of multiple/miliary nodules may in fact compress the parenchyma, creating extensive fibrosis and global glomerular sclerosis, or multifocality may herald a hereditary renal neoplastic syndrome (31).

Current view

The Disease Transmission Advisory Committee (DTAC) of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network/United Network for Organ Sharing (OPTN/UNOS) of the United States suggests that solitary well-differentiated RCCs, Fuhrnam nuclear grade I-II, less than 1 cm in diameter and resected before grafting, may be considered at minimal risk of recurrence in recipients (32). Solitary well-differentiated RCCs 1 to 2.5 cm in diameter should be considered at low risk and still used for transplantation, although only in selected patients whose clinical risk while on dialysis treatment outweighs being transplanted with such a graft (table III). However, extreme caution should be used when examining data on renal transplantation from donors with renal tumors, since most of the cases reported (table I) were transplanted from living donors, thus presumably investigated more accurately than is usually possible when transplanting a graft from a deceased donor, where only a short time is available for the donation procedure. In addition, all cases included in the registries were voluntary reported, raising the possibility that they may not represent the real risk of tumor transmission. Finally, the small dimension of a RCC does not always make it risk-free, since small tumors may be multifocal (33), nor is it a guarantee of good prognosis, considering that 7% may cause metastases despite the small dimension of tumor (34) and need to be checked for in a potential donor.

With all these caveats in mind, a donor with a low-grade renal cell cancer may be considered at standard risk of disease transmission to the recipient (35), who should be informed of the possible risk and should consent to receive the graft.

Finally, although renal transplantation has been proved to be a better treatment than dialysis, the pros and cons of the two treatment modalities should be carefully weighed when facing decisions carrying even slightly increased risks, and the clinical situation of each single patient needs to be assessed.

Acknowledgment

This paper was promoted by the “Onco-Nephrology Working Group” coordinated by Maurizio Gallieni under the auspices of the Italian Society of Nephrology (SIN), chaired by Giovanbattista Capasso and the Italian Association of Medical Oncology (AIOM), chaired by Stefano Cascinu.

References

1. Rees MA, Kopke JE, Pelletier RP et al (2009) A nonsimultaneous, extended, altruistic-donor chain. N Engl J Med 360(11):1096–1101
2. Kasiske BL, Gaston RS, Kasiske BL, Fieberg AM et al (2009) Use of cardioprotective medications in kidney transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 9(8):1811–1815
3. Abramowicz D, Cochat P, Claas FH et al (2014). European Renal Best Practice Guideline on kidney donor and recipient evaluation and perioperative care. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2014 Jul 9. pii: gfu216. [Epub ahead of print]
4. Ljungberg B, Bensalah K, Canfield S (2015). EAU guidelines on renal cell carcinoma: 2014 update. Eur Urol 67(5):913–924
5. Sener A, Uberoi V, Bartlett ST, Kramer AC, Phelan MW. (2009) Living-donor renal transplantation of grafts with incidental renal masses after ex-vivo partial nephrectomy. BJU Int 104:1655–1660
6. Mannami M, Mannami R, Mitsuhata N, et al (2008) Last resort for renal transplant recipients, 'restored kidneys' from living donors/patients. Am J Transplant 8(4):811–818
7. Mitsuhata N, Ito S, Mannami M, et al (2007) Donor kidneys with small renal cell cancer or low-grade lower ureteral cancer can be transplanted. Transplantation 83:1522–1523
8. Nicol D, Fujita S. Kidneys from patients with small renal tumours used for transplantation: outcomes and results. Curr Opin Urol. 2011;21:380-385.
9. Nicol DL, Preston JM, Wall DR, et al (2008) Kidneys from patients with small renal tumours: a novel source of kidneys for transplantation. BJU Int 102:188–192
10. Frank I, Blute ML, Cheville JC et al (2003) A multifactorial postoperative surveillance model for patients with surgically treated clear cell renal cell carcinoma. J Urol 170:2225–2232
11. Chawla SN, Crispen PL, Hanlon AL, Greenberg RE, Chen DY, Uzzo RG (2006) The natural history of observed enhancing renal masses: meta-analysis and review of the world literature. J Urol 175:425–431

Commento [MG1]: Ho sostituito la voce 8 (in quanto si tratta dell'abstract della pubblicazione citata al n. 9) con la seguente, dello stesso autore:
8. Nicol D, Fujita S. Kidneys from patients with small renal tumours used for transplantation: outcomes and results. Curr Opin Urol. 2011;21:380-385.

12. Crépel M, Jeldres C, Sun M, et al (2010) A population-based comparison of cancer-control rates between radical and partial nephrectomy for T1A renal cell carcinoma. Urology 76:883–888
13. Van Poppel H (2010) Efficacy and safety of nephron-sparing surgery. Int J Urol 17:314–326
14. Van Poppel H, Da Pozzo L, Albrecht W, et al (2011) A prospective, randomised EORTC intergroup phase 3 study comparing the oncologic outcome of elective nephron-sparing surgery and radical nephrectomy for low-stage renal cell carcinoma. Eur Urol 59:543–552
15. Huang WC, Levey AS, Serio AM et al (2006) Chronic kidney disease after nephrectomy in patients with renal cortical tumours: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol 7:735−740
16. Russo P, O'Brien MF (2008) Surgical intervention in patients with metastatic renal cancer: metastasectomy and cytoreductive nephrectomy. Urol Clin North Am 35:679–686
17. Campbell SC, Novick AC, Belldegrun A, et al (2009) Guideline for management of the clinical T1 renal mass. Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Urological Association. J Urol 82:1271−1279
18. Ison MG, Nalesnik MA (2011) An update on donor-derived disease transmission in organ transplantation. Am J Transplant 11(6):1123–1130
19. Garrido G, Matesanz R (2008) The Spanish National Transplant Organization (ONT) tumor registry. Transplantation 85(8 Suppl):S61−S63
20. Penn I (1995) Primary kidney tumors before and after renal transplantation. Transplantation 59:480–485.
21. Buell JF, Beebe TM, Trofe J, Gross TG, Alloway RR, Hanaway MJ, Woodle ES (2004). Donor transmitted malignancies. Ann Transplant 9:53−56
22. Brook NR, Gibbons N, Johnson DW, Nicol DL (2010) Outcomes of transplants from patients with small renal tumors, live unrelated donors and dialysis wait-listed patients. Transpl Int 23(5):476−483
23. Murphy W, Grignon D, Perlman E (2004) Tumours of the kidney, bladder and related urinary structures. AFIP Atlas of Tumor Pathology, Series 4. Washington, DC: American Registry of Pathology

24. Srigley JR, Delahunt B, Eble JN et al (2013) The International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Vancouver Classification of Renal Neoplasia. Am J Surg Pathol 37:1469–1489
25. Penn I (1997) Transmission of cancer from organ donors. Ann Transplant 2:7-12.
26. Algaba F, Arce Y, López-Beltrán A, Montironi R, Mikuz G, Bono AV (2005) European Society of Uropathology Uropathology Working Group Intraoperative frozen section diagnosis in urological oncology. Eur Urol 4:129−136
27. Dechet CB, Sebo T, Farrow G, Blute MI, Engen DE, Zincke H (1999) Prospective analysis of intraoperative frozen needle biopsy of solid renal masses in adults. J Urol 162:1282–1284
28. Cloix P, Martin X, Pangaud C, Maréchal JM, Bouvier R, Barat D, Dubernard JM. (1996) Surgical management of complex renal cysts: a series of 32 cases. J Urol 156:28–30
29. Bielsa O, Lloreta J, Gelabert-Mas A (1998) Cystic renal cell carcinoma: pathological features, survival and implications for treatment. Br J Urol 82:16–20
30. McHale T, Malkowicz SB, Tomazewski JE, Genera EM (2002) Potential pitfalls in the frozen section evaluation of parenchymal margins in nephron-sparing surgery. Am J Clin Pathol 118: 903–910
31. Eccher A, Boschiero L, Fior F et al (2014) Donor kidney with miliary papillary renal cell neoplasia: the role of the pathologist in determining suitability for transplantation. Ann Transplant 19:1−5
32. Nalesnik MA, Woodle ES, Dimaio JM et al (2011) Donor-transmitted malignancies in organ transplantation: assessment of clinical risk. Am J Transplant 11:1140–1147
33. Whang M, O'Toole K, Bixon R, Brunetti J, Ikeguchi E, Olsson CA, Sawczuk TS, Benson MC (1995) The incidence of multifocal renal cell carcinoma in patients who are candidates for partial nephrectomy. J Urol 154:968–970; discussion 970–971

34. Klatte T, Patard JJ, de Martino M et al (2008) Tumor size does not predict risk of metastatic disease or prognosis of small renal cell carcinomas. J Urol 179:1719−1726
35. Fiaschetti P, Pretagostini R, Stabile D et al (2012) The use of neoplastic donors to increase the donor pool. Transplant Proc 44(7):1848−1850

Table I. Reported cases of transplantation of kidneys with tumors.
OPTN/UNOS = Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network/United Network for Organ Sharing
LD = Living Donor; RCC = renal cell carcinoma; AML = angiomyolipoma; ONC = oncocytoma; KC = kidney cancer; CC = complex cyst

author/year (ref)	cases (n)	tumor transmission to recipient (n)	recipient death due to transmission	notes
Ison MG, 2011 (18)	64	7	1	data from OPTN/UNOS registry
Sener A, 2009 (5)	5	0	0	3 RCC, 2 AML; all LD
Garrido G, 2008 (19)	55	2	0	data from Spanish National Transplant Organization Tumor registry
Mannami M, 2008 (6)	8	0	0	all RCC, Tx from LD
Nicol DL, 2008 (9)	43	1	0	38 LD; 3 AML; 4 ONC; 31 KC; 3 CC
Buell JF, 2004 (21)	70	43	15%	data from Israel Penn International Transplant Tumor Registry
Penn I, 1995 (20)	30	3	2	recurrence in 2 cases with partial removal of tumor and in 1 out of 14 pts who received a contralateral kidney from a RCC donor

Table II. Classification of renal neoplasms (ESRD = End Stage Renal Disease)

Historical classification (ref 23)	
Clear cell renal carcinoma (CCR)	70% of renal tumors; multifocal 4%; bilateral 0.5-3%
Papillary cell renal carcinoma (PRCC)	
Renal cell carcinoma cromophobe cell type	5% of renal tumors
Oncocytoma	benign; differential diagnosis with the eosinophilic variant of Chromophobe RCC or CCR
Collecting duct carcinoma	< 1% renal carcinoma
Renal medullary carcinoma	children and pts with sickle cell disease
Mucinous tubular spindle cell RCC	female preponderance
Angiomyolipoma	benign lesion, multifocal in 20% of cases; the epithelioid variant is malignant
New proposed entities (ref 24)	
Tubulo-cystic RCC	rare
Acquired cystic disease RCC	patients with ESRD; multifocal (50%); bilateral (20%)
Clear cell tubulo-papillary RCC	1% of renal cancers
MiT family translocation RCC	
Hereditary leiomyomatosis RCC	autosomal dominant syndrome
Rare entities under discussion (ref 24)	
Thyroid-like follicular neoplasia	
Succinate-dehydrogenase B mutation associated RCC	
ALK translocation RCC	

Table III. Risk of donor transmission of renal neoplasms to graft recipients (modified from ref 32)

Risk of transmission	Tumor	Transplant
Minimal (< 0.1%)	Solitary RCC < 1 cm, well differentiated (Fuhrman 1-2)	yes with informed consent
Low (0.1-1%)	Solitary RCC 1-2.5 cm, well differentiated (Fuhrman 1-2)	only in patients at risk if not transplanted - informed consent required
Intermediate (1-10%)	Solitary RCC T1b 4-7 cm, well differentiated (Fuhrman 1-2)	not recommended
High (> 10%)	RCC > 7 cm or stage II-IV	to avoid